

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/514,424	05/26/2005	Rudolf Peter Muis	OCT0013-US	8641	
36183 PAUL, HASTINGS, JANOFSKY & WALKER LLP 875 15th Street, NW			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			ROLLAND, ALEX A		
Washington, E	C 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1792		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/23/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	ALEX ROLLAND	1792				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 04 March 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.				
<ol> <li>X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (periods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	vhich places the r (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of his Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in he final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the satuatory period for reply expire later final RSI MONTHS from the mailing date of he final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWM MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See WIEP FOS 07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		36(a) and the appropriat	e extension fee			
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of evander 37 GFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL.	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as			
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>						
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because         <ul> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> </ul> </li> </ol>						
<ul> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	ter form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying ti	he issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	Od Coo officer of Nov. Co.		DTOL 224)			
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		ripliant Amendment (	F10L-324).			
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>						
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)  will not be entered, or b)  will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim[s] is for will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 2-20.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
Not necessary to the consideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:     See Continuation Sheet.						
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement(s)</i> . (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
13. Other:	(					
/Michael Cleveland/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1792	/ALEX ROLLAND/ Examiner, Art Unit 1792					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not convincing. Regarding the first argument, the passageways 55 are outlet openings in that they provide an outlet for the material in pipe 29 to enter outlet slot 53. It does appear to be the case that the passageways do not directly supply the material to the materials in six not required by the claims and the passageways do eventually supply material to the substrate. Regarding the second argument, the multiple passageways of Wahren result in smooth and steady flow (col. 5, lines 29-36) and both Matsunaga and Wahren are concerned with dispensing solid particulate containing liquid.